## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND (Northern Division)

ARGONAUT INSURANCE COMPANY

Plaintiff, \*

v. \* Civil Action No.: 1:11-cv-02741-WDQ

WOLVERINE CONSTRUCTION, INC., et al. \*

Defendants. \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## CONSENT MOTION FOR MODIFCATION OF THE SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiff, Argonaut Insurance Company ("Argo"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and with the consent of Defendants Wolverine Construction, Inc., Robert Zimmerman and Elissa Dillon, through their respective counsel, request that the Court modify certain dates in its Scheduling Order, and in support thereof, states:

- 1. On September 26, 2011, Plaintiff Argo filed suit asserting a claim for violation of Maryland's Trust Fund Statute, Md. Code Ann., Real Prop. §§ 9-201-204, as well as a contractual indemnity claim.
- 2. These claims arise out of private construction project located in Prince George's County, Maryland. Defendant Wolverine Construction, Inc. ("Wolverine") was the general contractor on this project, and Argo, as Wolverine's surety, provided surety bonds on behalf of Wolverine.
- 3. In Argo's claim for violation of Maryland's Trust Fund Statute, Argo has alleged that Wolverine, at the direction and control of Defendant Robert Zimmerman, improperly utilized construction trust funds in violation of the statute thereby damaging Argo.
  - 4. Due to this diversion of funds, Argo has alleged that it was forced to pay hundreds of

thousands of dollars to Wolverine's subcontractors and suppliers which should have been paid from the construction trust funds.

- 5. Argo intends to retain an expert witness to analyze and opine on the tracing of the construction trust funds into and from Wolverine's bank accounts.
- 6. Argo is in possession of some of the relevant documents. However, the bulk of the financial records, including the pertinent bank records, are in the possession of Wolverine and Wolverine's banks.
- 7. Accordingly, Argo's ability to perform the tracing of funds is dependent upon learning of all of the pertinent banks and obtaining the bank records from Wolverine, as well as the banks, which will occur through discovery and will most likely take additional time.
- 8. Importantly, this discovery may also reveal that in addition to Defendant Robert Zimmerman, other individuals have violated Maryland's Trust Fund Statute and should be named as Defendants.
- 9. The current deadline for moving to joinder of additional parties and amendment of pleadings is January 20, 2012 and for Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures is February 6, 2012.
- 10. Argo respectfully requests that the Court extend these deadlines and the other remaining deadlines approximately 30-45 days, so that the revised dates are as follows:

March 6, 2012: Moving for joinder of additional parties and

amendment of pleadings

March 6, 2012: Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures

April 6, 2012: Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures

April 16, 2012: Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures

April 24, 2012: Rule 26(e)(2) supplementation of disclosures and

responses

May 10, 2012: Discovery deadline; submission of status report

May 17, 2012: Requests for admission

June 21, 2012: Dispositive pretrial motions deadline

11. To the extent that documents and/or information are not timely produced, Argo also respectfully requests that it be permitted to seek a further modification of the remaining deadlines at a later date. Nevertheless, Argo seeks to avoid any further extension of the deadlines.

- 12. Defendants, Wolverine Construction, Inc., Robert J. Zimmerman and Elissa Dillon, through their counsel, consent only to the extension of the deadlines in the Scheduling Order, as set forth above.
- 13. Defendants Wolverine Contractors, Inc. and Wolverine Management, Inc. have been served, but have not filed a responsive pleading.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Argonaut Insurance Company respectfully requests that the Court grant its Request for Modification of the Scheduling Order and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Eric G. Korphage

David D. Gilliss

Federal Bar No.: 05174 Gilliss@pikegilliss.com

Eric G. Korphage

Federal Bar No.: 27854 Ekorphage@pikegilliss.com

Pike & Gilliss, LLC

9475 Deereco Road, Suite 300

Timonium, MD 21093

Phone: (443) 761-6500 Fax: (443) 761-6519 Attorneys for the Plaintiff, Argonaut Insurance Company

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on December 20, 2011, the foregoing was served via U.S. Mail on the Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation for Wolverine Contractors, Inc. and Wolverine Management, Inc. and via the CM/ECF System of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland on the following:

James L. Mayer, Esquire James L. Mayer, P.A. jmayer@jlmayerlaw.com 2400 Longstone Lane, Suite 106 Marriottsville, MD 21104 Attorneys for Defendant, Elissa Dillon Jeffrey N. Pritzker, Esquire
jnpritzker@mpelaw.com
Margolis, Pritzker, Epstein, & Blatt, P.A.
110 West Road, Suite 222
Towson, Maryland 21204
Attorneys for Defendants, Robert Zimmerman and
Wolverine Construction, Inc.

/s/ Eric G. Korphage

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND (Northern Division)

ARG	ONAUT INSURANCE COM	IPANY	*						
	Plaintiff,		*						
v.			*	Civil Ac	tion No	o.: 1:11-c	ev-0274	41-WD0	Q
WOI	LVERINE CONSTRUCTION	, INC., et al.	*						
	Defendants.		*						
*	* * * *		* RDE		*	*	*	*	*
	After consideration of the	Consent Motio	n for	Modifica	tion of	the Scho	eduling	Order	("Consent
Moti	on"), it is this day of		20	, by th	ne Unit	ed State	s Dist	rict Cou	ırt for the
Distr	ict of Maryland, hereby								
	ORDERED, that the Conse	ent Motion is G	RAN	NTED; and	l it is				
	FURTHER ORDERED, t	he remaining d	ates (	on the Cou	ırt's Sc	heduling	Order	are as f	follows:
		I.	<u>DEA</u>	<u>DLINES</u>					
	March 6, 2012:	Moving for joinder of additional parties and amendment of pleadings							
	March 6, 2012:	Plaintiff's R	ule 2	6(a)(2) dis	sclosure	es			
	April 6, 2012:	Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures							
	April 16, 2012:	Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures							
	April 24, 2012:	Rule 26(e)(2 responses	2) sup	plementat	ion of	disclosur	es and		
	May 10, 2012:	Discovery de	eadli	ne; submis	ssion of	status r	eport		
	May 17, 2012:	Requests for	· adm	ission					

Argonaut Ins. Co. v. Wolverine Construction, Inc., et al., Civil Action No.: 1:11-cv-02741-WDQ Order on Request for Modification of the Scheduling Order Page 2 of 2

June 21, 2012: Dispositive pretrial motions deadline

And it is,

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that the parties may seek a further modification of any remaining dates on the Scheduling Order to the extent necessary.

William D. Quarles, Jr.
United States District Judge